

INHERITANCE AND TYPE HIERARCHIES

Prof. Chris Jermaine
cmj4@cs.rice.edu

I've Argued OO Is About Abstraction

- Type hierarchies: methodology to provide for abstraction in OOP

I've Argued OO Is About Abstraction

- Type hierarchies: methodology to provide for abstraction in OOP
- Based on ability to declare an “is-a” relationship between types
- Java uses keyword “extends”
 - But I like to still think about it using “is-a”
- When we say:

```
class DeleteOp extends class AEditOp {...}
```
- We are saying a deletion “is-an” edit operation

So What Does Extends (Is-A) Mean?

- If:

```
class DeleteOp extends class AEditOp {...}
```

- “DeleteOp” automatically has all of the methods that “AEditOp” has
- “DeleteOp” automatically has all of the member variables that “AEditOp” has
- In fact, if you have no more code than this, a “DeleteOp” is exactly the same as an “AEditOp”

So What Does Extends (Is-A) Mean?

- If:

```
class DeleteOp extends class AEditOp {...}
```

- “DeleteOp” automatically has all of the methods that “AEditOp” has
- “DeleteOp” automatically has all of the member variables that “AEditOp” has
- In fact, if you have no more code than this, a “DeleteOp” is exactly the same as an “AEditOp”

- If this is all type hierarchies gave you, would be silly

- Why have “DeleteOp” if it’s exactly the same as “AEditOp”?

- Luckily, this is not what extends/is-a means

- A “subclass” such as DeleteOp is **constrained** by its superclass
- But it is **not** exactly the same as its superclass

Over-Riding Superclass Behavior

- Fundamental to type hierarchies in OOP...
 - Is the ability of a subclass to define its own behavior
 - But this can only be done in a way that's consistent with the superclass
 - In Java, this is done by re-defining or **over-riding** superclass methods

What Is the Motivation?

- Why have this whole subclass/superclass thing in OOP?
 - Makes your code more compact, easier to maintain
 - Because many types can share the same method implementation

OOP: means you should NEVER HAVE REPEATED CODE
- Why is avoiding repeated code important?
 - Change code in the superclass, all subclasses automatically get update
 - If you repeat code, you **WILL** chase the same bug many times!

Polymorphism

- “Is-a” by itself would primarily allow for easy code re-use
- The real power of inheritance comes with **polymorphism**
 - Polymorphism: ability to figure out what version of an over-loaded method to call
 - Is where we really get abstraction in OOP
 - This is best illustrated with an example...

Edit Distance Revisited

- Say that we want to actually print out the set of edits
 - Not just compute the edit distance between strings
- Look on the web, you'll see complex pictures of how to do this
- Generally involves computing edit distance DP matrix first
 - Then backtracking through matrix to see how you got to the end
- Consider the following (quite simple, extensible) code based on inheritance plus polymorphism

Edit Distance Revisited

- Assume we define an “AEditOp” class
- Four subclasses: “InsertOp”, “DeleteOp”, “XFormOp”, “NoOp”
 - Correspond to the three edit operations, plus doing nothing

Edit Distance Revisited

- Goal is to transform string “a” into string “b”
 - Assume we start by shifting chars over (pre-pend with a single space, for safety)
 - Then declare a matrix of edit ops rather than scores
 - And get ourselves an edit op “factory”... what is this?

```
// pre-pend both strings with " "
```

```
a = " " + a;
```

```
b = " " + b;
```

```
// allocate the edit op matrix
```

```
AEditOp [][]A = new AEditOp [a.length ()][b.length ()];
```

```
// allocate the edit op factory
```

```
AEditOpFactory myFactory = new AEditOpFactory ();
```

Edit Distance Revisited

- The loop to compute the edit distance becomes quite simple

```
for (int i = 0; i < a.length (); i++) { // loop through matrix
  for (int j = 0; j < b.length (); j++) { // loop through matrix
    A[i][j] = null; // initialize entry
    ArrayList <AEditOp> AEditOpList = // get list of edit ops
      myFactory.run (A, i, j, a, b);
    for (AEditOp current : AEditOpList) {
      if (A[i][j] == null || // see if this edit op is best
          current.getCostToHere () < A[i][j].getCostToHere ())
        A[i][j] = current; // if this op is best, use it
    }
  }
}
A[a.length () - 1][b.length () - 1].printEdits ();
```

— Let's examine that inner-most loop in more detail

Edit Distance Revisited

```
ArrayList <AEditOp> AEditOpList =  
    myFactory.run (A, i, j, a, b);  
  
for (AEditOp current : AEditOpList) {  
    if (A[i][j] == null ||  
        current.getCostToHere () < A[i][j].getCostToHere ())  
        A[i][j] = current;  
}
```

- This “factory” produces a list of all possible edit operations we can use here
- There are different edit operations, but each “is-an” AEditOp
- So they can go into the same list of generic AEditOp objects
- A[i][j] should store the last op needed to xform “i” chars in “a” to “j” chars in “b”
- This loop checks each edit op in turn to see if it is the best option

The AEditOpFactory

```
class AEditOpFactory {  
  
    public ArrayList <AEditOp> run (AEditOp [][]A,  
        int i, int j, Sring a, Sring b) {  
  
        ArrayList <AEditOp> myRes = new ArrayList <AEditOp> ();  
        myRes.add (new InsertOp (A, i, j, a, b));  
        myRes.add (new DeleteOp (A, i, j, a, b));  
        myRes.add (new XformOp (A, i, j, a, b));  
        myRes.add (new NoOp (A, i, j, a, b));  
        return myRes;  
    }  
}
```

- The “run” method puts all possible edit ops into a list
 - Each edit operation is aware of its own cost, and how it links to other edit ops
 - Constructor uses A, i, j, a, and b to compute this
 - Factories of this sort are common in OOP
 - Useful ‘cause it abstracts away the task of creating the various edit operations

Before Continuing with the Example

- Why write code like this?

Before Continuing with the Example

- Why write code like this?
 - In complex programs, much more maintainable and extensible
- We have totally abstracted out the idea of an edit operation
 - You can add, change an edit op without touching core loop
 - Just implement a new subclass of AEditOp, then add it to the factory

How Is the AEditOp Class Coded?

```
abstract class AEditOp {  
  
    // this is the previous edit operation in the  
    // optimal transform of a into b  
    private AEditOp parent = null;  
  
    // this is the total cost to get to this particular  
    // edit operation  
    private int costToHere = 999999999;  
  
    // print yourself nicely to the screen  
    public abstract void printSelf ();  
    ...  
}
```

- First, this class is “**abstract**”
- Means you can’t ever “new” it. Why?
- Analogy you’ve never got a generic “color” (you’ve got black, white, etc.)

How Is the AEditOp Class Coded?

```
abstract class AEditOp {  
  
    // this is the previous edit operation in the  
    // optimal transform of a into b  
    private AEditOp parent = null;  
  
    // this is the total cost to get to this particular  
    // edit operation  
    private int costToHere = 999999999;  
  
    // print yourself nicely to the screen  
    public abstract void printSelf ();  
    ...  
}
```

- Note this class has some abstract methods
- Means we force the subclass to implement them
- Why declare as abstract? Can't print a generic edit operation!

Also Has Three Concrete Methods

```
// set up the internal stuff--parent and cost
protected void setup (AEditOp myParent, int cost) {
    parent = myParent;
    costToHere = cost;
}

// get the total cost
public int getCostToHere () {
    return costToHere;
}

// print all of the edits needed to get from string a to string b
public void printEdits () {
    if (parent != null) {
        parent.printEdits (); // Noooo! Recursion!!!
    }
    printSelf ();
}
}
```

Let's Consider printEdits In Detail

```
// print all of the edits needed to get from string a to string b
public void printEdits () {
    if (parent != null) {
        parent.printEdits (); // Noooo! Recursion!!!
    }
    printSelf ();
}
```

- Note: uses recursion to print the sequence of edits
- Also note: “printSelf” is abstract... how does this work?
 - Every AEditOp object: instance of a subclass of AEditOp (AEditOp is abstract)
 - When call printSelf, Java invokes printSelf method associated with concrete class
 - Java is smart enough to figure out which concrete class this object belongs to
 - This is known as **polymorphism** in OOP...
 - ...that is, the same call can have different results based on identity of “this”

How to Implement an Actual Edit Op?

```
class InsertOp extends AEditOp {  
  
    // this is the char we are inserting  
    private char insertedChar;  
  
    // this is where we do the insert  
    private int atWhichPos;  
  
    public InsertOp (AEditOp [][]A, int i, int j,  
        String a, String b) { ...}  
  
    public void printSelf () {  
        System.out.format ("Inserted %c at pos %d.\n",  
            insertedChar, atWhichPos);  
    }  
}
```

- Note that we can declare member vars not present in parent class
- Also note that we **must** provide an implementation of the printSelf method

What Does the Constructor Look Like?

```
public InsertOp (AEditOp [][]A, int i,  
    int j, String a, String b) {  
  
    // make sure string b is not empty (if it is,  
    // can't insert to get to it)  
    if (j != 0) {  
        setup (A[i][j - 1], A[i][j - 1].getCostToHere () + 1);  
        insertedChar = b.charAt (j);  
        atWhichPos = i;  
    }  
}
```

- As long as we are not trying to xform i characters of string “a” to 0 chars of “b”...
- ...we can put a non-infinite cost as well as a parent into the InsertOp
- In insertion, parent is at A[i][j - 1] (match first j - 1 chars of “b”, do an insert)
- We also record the insertion associated with this operation
- And the position at which the insertion takes place

How About the XformOp Class?

```
class XformOp extends AEditOp {  
  
    private int charFrom;  
    private int charTo;  
    private int atWhichPos;  
  
    public XformOp(AEditOp [][]A, int i, int j, String a, String b){  
  
        // if either string has no characters, can't do a xform  
        if (i != 0 && j != 0) {  
            setup (A[i - 1][j - 1], A[i - 1][j - 1].getCostToHere () + 1);  
            charFrom = a.charAt (i);  
            charTo = b.charAt (j);  
            atWhichPos = i;  
        }  
    }  
  
    public void printSelf () {  
        System.out.format ("Transformed %c at pos %d to %c.\n",  
            charFrom, atWhichPos, charTo);  
    }  
}
```

So What Does All of This Do?

```
String a = " " + "asxaaxdsfaayyahhhhzzzjj";  
String b = " " + "asaadsfaaaahhhhzzzjjj";
```

output is:

Deleted x from pos 3.

Deleted x from pos 6.

Transformed y at pos 12 to a.

Deleted y from pos 13.

Deleted h from pos 18.

Inserted j at pos 23.

Why Does This Design Give Us Flexibility?

- Super-easy to add new edit ops (or take existing ones out)
- Say we want the ability to insert repeating values for free
- This defines a new edit op
 - Distance between “abcd” and “aaabcdd” is now zero
 - Repeat the first “a” twice, then repeat the final “d”
- To code this up, two minor changes

Change #1: Define the RepeatOp Class

```
class RepeatOp extends AEditOp {  
  
    // this is the char we are repeating  
    private char repeatedChar;  
  
    // this is where we do the repeat  
    private int atWhichPos;  
  
    public RepeatOp (AEditOp [][]A, int i, int j,  
        String a, String b) { ...}  
  
    public void printSelf () {  
        System.out.format ("Repeated %c at pos %d.\n",  
            insertedChar, atWhichPos);  
    }  
}
```

Change #1: Define the RepeatOp Class

```
public RepeatOp (AEditOp [][]A, int i,  
    int j, String a, String b) {  
  
    // make sure string b is not empty (if it is,  
    // can't repeat to get to it)  
    if (j != 0 && b.charAt (j) == b.charAt (j - 1)) {  
        setup (A[i][j - 1], A[i][j - 1].getCostToHere ());  
        repeatedChar = b.charAt (j - 1);  
        atWhichPos = j;  
    }  
}
```

Change #2: Modify the Factory

```
class AEditOpFactory {  
  
    public ArrayList <AEditOp> run (AEditOp [][]A,  
        int i, int j, String a, String b) {  
  
        ArrayList <AEditOp> myRes = new ArrayList <AEditOp> ();  
        myRes.add (new InsertOp (A, i, j, a, b));  
        myRes.add (new DeleteOp (A, i, j, a, b));  
        myRes.add (new XformOp (A, i, j, a, b));  
        myRes.add (new NoOp (A, i, j, a, b));  
        myRes.add (new RepeatOp (A, i, j, a, b));  
        return myRes;  
    }  
}
```

Change #2: Modify the Factory

```
class AEditOpFactory {  
  
    public ArrayList <AEditOp> run (AEditOp [][]A,  
        int i, int j, String a, String b) {  
  
        ArrayList <AEditOp> myRes = new ArrayList <AEditOp> ();  
        myRes.add (new InsertOp (A, i, j, a, b));  
        myRes.add (new DeleteOp (A, i, j, a, b));  
        myRes.add (new XformOp (A, i, j, a, b));  
        myRes.add (new NoOp (A, i, j, a, b));  
        myRes.add (new RepeatOp (A, i, j, a, b));  
        return myRes;  
    }  
}
```

- That's it!!

Some Take-Home Points

- Abstract classes... why to use 'em?
 - You'll almost always need an abstract class sitting on top of the hierarchy
 - Why?

Some Take-Home Points

- Abstract classes... why to use 'em?
 - You'll almost always need an abstract class sitting on top of the hierarchy
 - Why?

Almost all class hierachies have ops that can be wrtten in terms of abstract functionality

Some Take-Home Points

- Abstract classes... why to use 'em?
 - You'll almost always need an abstract class sitting on top of the hierarchy
 - Why?
 - So, get in the habit of putting them there (as a placeholder) even when you think you don't, whenever you have a hierarchy
 - Rule in this class: hierarchy must have abstract at the top

Some Take-Home Points

- How is inheritance different from polymorphism?
 - Inheritance is a mechanism by which classes can share code
 - Polymorphism is a mechanism by which a single method call in a piece of code could have different results, depending upon the actual type of the object
 - Closely related, but not the same!

Some Take-Home Points

- Is all of this worth it? Factories, abstract classes, polymorphism...
 - If you want to get an edit distance computation working quickly, honest answer:
 - Probably not
 - But: for a problem of even intermediate complexity...
 - ...carefully thinking about how to carve up a problem in this way is invaluable
 - Greatly simplifies debugging, maintenance, and even coding
 - We have used inheritance/polymorphism to create a number of simple, small parts that can be understood/implemented/tested/maintained independently
 - For more complicated problems, invaluable

Some Take-Home Points

- Is all of this worth it? Factories, abstract classes, polymorphism...
 - If you want to get an edit distance computation working quickly, honest answer:
 - Probably not
 - But...y...
 - ...ca...em in this way is invaluable
 - Gre...ven coding
 - We...a number of simple, small parts
 - that...ned independently
 - For more complicated problems, invaluable

It's all about

ABSTRACTION!!