

GARBAGE COLLECTION

Prof. Chris Jermaine
cmj4@cs.rice.edu

What Is Garbage Collection?

- A “garbage collected” PL implementation (like Java)...
 - Provides automatic support for reclaiming memory you are done with
 - The imp itself checks to see if there is any way to reach some allocated memory
 - And if there is not, it deletes it
- Key thing to be aware of:
 - It’s a bit strong to say a “language” itself is garbage collected (or not)
 - Though that is what we (and everyone else) will say
 - Why is the claim that “C is not garbage collected” a bit silly?
- What is alternative to GC?
 - Ask the programmer to free memory when done with it. Always have:

```
SparseDoubleVector foo = new SparsDoubleVector (100, 1.0)
some code;
delete foo;
```

Short History of Garbage Collection

- Way back when, there was no dynamic memory allocation
 - We're talking Fortran in the mid 1950's
 - You declared all your vars up front, at compile time
 - So no need for PL system to dynamically reclaim memory...
 - In first implementations, compiler claimed space for each var
- GC was invented with Lisp in the late 1950s
 - But remained a fringe idea
 - Lisp was never tremendously popular
 - Then, with advent of C/C++ in early 70's, self-memory management was "in"
- I'd say it only became mainstream with first Java in mid 90's
 - Now, a very common idea

Pros And Cons of GC

- Pros? Cons?

Pros And Cons of GC

- Pros

- Don't need to worry about adding “free” or “delete” statements to code
- Never have bugs related to dangling references/pointers (double frees, etc.)

- Often incorrectly stated as a “pro”

- Don't need to worry about memory leaks

- Cons

- GC takes lots of system resources; GC programs are usually slower than non-GC
- Ever wonder why you got huge performance swings in the A3 test cases?
- GC programs tend to consume whatever RAM you give them

Basics of GC Design and Implementation

- How do they work?
- First, need to differentiate between different memory “types”
- One one hand, there’s the stuff that can’t be garbage collected
 - There’s the memory used to allocate everything you can’t ever (or easily) reclaim
 - Such as static member variables
 - There’s the memory used to allocate local variables having one of the 8 + 1 types
 - Will refer to this as “root memory”
- Then there’s the stuff that can
 - This is almost everything else
 - Allocated on the “heap” in Java

Basics of GC Design and Implementation

- A tracing GC maintains a set containing all refs (or pointers)
- A reference links two memory regions:
 - The memory region (usually an object) where the reference lives
 - The memory region (usually an object) that the reference points to
- So the set of all references gives you a “reachability” graph
 - Nodes are memory regions
 - Edges are references
- When an object can’t be reached from any “root memory”...
 - It can safely be deallocated

Example

```
class Foo {  
    private Foo left, right;  
  
    public Foo (Foo child, Foo otherChild) {  
        left = child;  
        right = otherChild;  
    }  
}
```

...

```
Foo fooOne = new Foo (null, null);  
Foo fooTwo = new Foo (null, null);  
Foo fooThree = new Foo (fooOne, fooTwo);  
Foo fooFour = fooThree;  
fooThree = null;  
fooFour = null;
```

...

Basics of GC Design and Implementation

- What would a super-simple GC do?
- Incrementally maintain the reachability graph
 - Whenever you have a new allocation, add a node to the graph
 - Whenever an object/var goes out of scope, remove a node from the graph
 - Whenever you assign a reference a new value, change the link out of the node
- Then when you run out of RAM
 - Do a DFS on the graph to find everyone not reachable from some “root memory”
 - Declare all of that unreachable memory as being available for reallocation

What'd Be the Problem With This?

- Too much waiting while the GC runs
- Program would seem to freeze for awhile
- Very annoying from the user's point of view

Modern GCs Tend to Use Some Variant of...

- ...The “tri-color” method. Just an extended, lazy DFS
- Each node in reachability graph has a color
 - White: don’t know if reachable
 - Gray: reachable, children not processed yet
 - Black: reachable, children processed
- Root memory nodes are gray initially, all else white
- Then do the following forever:
 - Pick gray node; if white child, color child gray
 - If no white child, color black
- When gray is empty, white nodes can be killed
- Can be interleaved with program exec., so less long dead spells

Modern GCs Also “Generational”

- Might have “major” and “minor” sweeps
- On “minor” sweeps, only put recent stuff into white set
- How recent is measured by object “generation”

OK, So How Does This Affect You?

- Well, at one time or another, all of you have been annoyed at Java
 - Why is it hanging, doing nothing? Now you know! Doing a DFS
- If performance is really key, you can't be overly naive re GC
 - Java actually gives you some knobs and levers to push and pull in attempt to tune
 - See <http://www.oracle.com/technetwork/java/javase/gc-tuning-6-140523.html>

Some Other Issues Re GC In Java

- Like almost all GCs, Java uses “syntactic” collection
- The GC does not try to understand your program
 - It is just naively following references
- So if you keep around a lot of references to stuff you’ll never need
 - Java won’t/can’t understand that it is OK to reclaim the memory
- This means you need to think a bit about keeping live references
 - Got a monster data structure you are done with?
 - Put it in a program block where its reference will go out of scope
 - Or set all references to it to “null”
 - Also, be careful about putting references into long-lived containers
 - All of this is why GC does **NOT** mean you can forget about memory management

Also, There's No Way to Force GC in Java

- Does exist a system call that “suggests” it
- But GC is free to ignore you
- Find yourself using that system call?
 - Almost always a case of voodoo programming
- Java's GCs are quite good (for GCs, that is)
 - If you've run out of RAM, it's not because the GC forgot to run
 - Or because you forgot to suggest that it should
 - It's because you are keeping references to too many objects that are too large
 - So make sure you are not keeping around a gazillion worthless refs
 - And may sure you are not inadvertently creating huge data structures
 - Don't blame the GC!

Questions?